Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/889,918	GUILLOU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew T. Henning	2131
All Participants: Status of Application: Response to Non-final submitted		
(1) Matthew T. Henning.	(3)	
(2) <u>David Brush</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 August 2007</u> Time: <u>10:30 AM EST</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner telephoned the applicants' representative, to discuss the claim language of claims 25 and 40, and was informed that he will be out of the office until the 27 th of August.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		